Case 1:07-cv-09090-AKH Doo	cument 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 12
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
ANTHONY DIMICHELE AND MARY J DIMICHE	ELE	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiff	fs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -		WASTER COWN LA	311/1
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defenda	nts.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Comp.			0
N	OTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Minstant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein			

the Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an '\(\subseteq\)" if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, ANTHONY DIMICHELE AND MARY J DIMICHELE, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

	C	(OR)	t, Cape Coral, FL 33914
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim i	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
		, , ,	

Cas	se 1:07-cv-09090-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 12
3. Florida residin Injured Plainti	ng at 2825 SW 38th Street, Cape Coral ff: SPOUSE at all relevant times ANTHONY DIMICHELE, at to the injuries sustained by her DIMICHELE.	inafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of FL 33914-, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff and brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due husband (his wife), Plaintiff ANTHONY Other:
4.		2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
	ment (NYPD) as a Detective at:	2002 the Injured Flament Worked for the W Tork
P	lease be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (i From on or abore Approximately	Trade Center Site e., building, quadrant, etc.) out _9/18/2001_ until _5/30/2002_; y _12_ hours per day; for y _255_ days total.	The Barge From on or about 9/18/2001 until _5/30/2002_; Approximately _12_ hours per day; for Approximately _255_ days total. □ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
From on or abo Approximately	York City Medical Examiner's Office out _9/18/2001_ until _5/30/2002_, y _12_ hours per day; for y _255_ days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
Approximately	Kills Landfill out until; y hours per day; for y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	above; Was exposed to and inhaled o dates at the site(s) indicated above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	
	Please read this do	cument carefully.

2 of 9

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of Claim Nunc Pro Tunc) has been filed and a	INC.
,	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE CEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCATTOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Case 1:07-cv-09090-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 12 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is.				
Stabi	✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.				
	III CAUSE	S OF	ACTION		
of lia	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:				
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided 		
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
		V	Pursuan to 46 U.S.C. § 688 <i>et seq.</i> , and 28 U.S.C. § 1333.		

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

SEE RIDER

Case 1:07-cv-09090-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 12 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Chronic Rhinitis; Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; and Sinus Problems Date of onset: 12/21/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	\	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 12/21/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: GERD; Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: 12/21/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	\	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

✓	Pain and suffering		Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life		
		\checkmark	Other:
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of		✓ Mental anguish
	earning capacity		☑ Disability
			✓ Medical monitoring
\checkmark	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		✓ Other: Not yet determined.
	Tethenient denemis		

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Case 1:07-cv-09090-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 9 of 12

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $\label{eq:power_power} Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Anthony DiMichele and Mary J

By: ____

DiMichele

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

<u>RIDER</u>

Jurisdiction is predicated upon 28 U.S.C. §1331, pursuant to 46 U.S.C. § 688, *et seq*, commonly, and hereafter referred to as the *Jones Act*, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1333, General Maritime and Admiralty Jurisdictions of the United States.

Weeks Marine barges are vessels of United States registry and either are or will be within the jurisdiction of this Court during the pendency of this action.

At all material times Weeks Marine was the owner and/or the owner *pro hoc vice*, and/or the bareboat charter of the barges.

At all material times Weeks Marine managed and/or controlled the barge(s).

Plaintiff's injuries occurred due to the negligence and fault of the defendants, their employees, and/or their agents and servants, in that they failed to have adequate and safe procedures for the seamen on the vessel to safely take on, haul, and remove debris, and dredge there was inadequate supervision, training, safety and health equipment and planning of the job.

The conditions, acts and omissions stated above rendered the barges unseaworthy as did the fact that the vessel was not properly designed to take on, haul, transport, and remove hazardous substances.

As a result of defendants' negligence and the unseaworthiness of the barge, plaintiff incurred loss of wages and found, medical expenses, pain and suffering, and will incur future loss of wages and found, medical expenses, pain and suffering.

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 27, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
===	ANTHONY DIMICHELE (AND WIFE, MARY J DIMICHELE),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP